



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/376,654	08/18/1999	ALAN FOLMSBEE	5437-076/P41 6747	
25920 7	7590 04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	& PENILLA, LLP LANIER, BENJAMIN E			NJAMIN E
710 LAKEWA SUITE 170	AY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVALE	E, CA 94085		2132	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Advisory Action	09/376,654	FOLMSBEE, ALAN				
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	s			
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ich places the applicati	to a ion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened statement of t	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See 136(a) and the appropriate extense fee. The appropriate extense	MPEP tension fee sion fee under			
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		·	y reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely filed a	mendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-18 and 20-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,19.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	6-	But &				
	SUPE	GILBERTO BARRON RVISORY PATENT EXAM	INER			
		CHNOLOGY CENTER 210				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/376,654



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amended limitation of error correction of intentionally inserted errors in a predictable manner still does not distinguish the invention over the prior art because error correction system operate in a predictable manner.